UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,456	08/04/2006	Koichi Ebata	070639-0149	5744
	7590 11/04/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIW	MAPA, MICHAEL Y		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision				
from Pre-Appeal Brief				
Review				

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/588,456	EBATA, KOICHI		
	Art Unit		
Michael Mapa	2617		
		-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed	l 17 September 2009.			
 Improper Request – The Request is improper and a con reason(s): 	ference will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appea ☐ Other: . 	appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of A				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of th appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains classificant at this time.				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant				
All participants:				
(1) <u>Dan Swerdlow</u> . (3)				
(2) <u>Michael Mapa</u> . (4)	<u>_</u> .			
/Dwayne D. Bost/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617				